



NORTH-HOLLAND

About Limit Matrices of Finite-State Markov Chains

J. W. Nieuwenhuis
University of Groningen
Department of Econometrics
Faculty of Economics
P.O. Box 800
9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

Submitted by Paul A. Fuhrmann

ABSTRACT

By means of the concept of group inverse of a matrix we study limiting properties of a collection of stochastic matrices $\{P_\epsilon, \epsilon \in [0, 1]\}$, where $\forall \epsilon \in [0, 1], P_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and where $P_0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P_\epsilon$. © Elsevier Science Inc., 1997

INTRODUCTION

In the sequel $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a fixed natural number and all matrices are elements of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unless explicitly indicated otherwise.

Let P be a stochastic matrix. Then it is well known that

$$\bar{P} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I + P + \dots + P^{t-1}}{t}$$

exists. Under those circumstances one has $\bar{P} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^t$.

Let M^* be the group inverse of $M := I - P$. Then $\bar{P} = I - MM^*$. Let now $\{P_\epsilon, \epsilon \in [0, 1]\}$ be a collection of stochastic matrices with $P_0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P_\epsilon$. We will study the behavior of $\{\bar{P}_\epsilon, \epsilon \in [0, 1]\}$ by means of the concept of group inverses, as elaborated in a paper by Robert [1] and applied to Markov chains by Meyer in [2]. In order to make this note also readable for

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS 250:219-223 (1997)

the novice in group inverses, we give all the necessary definitions and theorems.

GROUP INVERSE OF A MATRIX

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(M)$ the *range* of a matrix M , and by $\mathcal{N}(M)$ the *null space* of M .

LEMMA 1 (See [1]). *For all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a unique $x \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ with $Mx - y \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ if and only if $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{R}(M) \oplus \mathcal{N}(M)$, i.e., \mathbb{R}^n is the direct sum of $\mathcal{R}(M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(M)$.*

DEFINITION. Let M be such that $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{R}(M) \oplus \mathcal{N}(M)$. Then $M^*: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the *group inverse* of M , is defined as follows:

$$M^*y := x \in \mathcal{R}(M) \quad \text{with} \quad Mx - y \in \mathcal{N}(M).$$

LEMMA 2 (See [1]). *Let $M := I - P$ with P stochastic. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{R}(M) \oplus \mathcal{N}(M)$.*

LEMMA 3 (See [1]). *Let M be such that $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{R}(M) \oplus \mathcal{N}(M)$. Then $\mathcal{R}(M^2) = \mathcal{R}(M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(M^2) = \mathcal{N}(M)$.*

MAIN RESULTS

In the sequel we will apply the foregoing in the following context: We are given a collection of stochastic matrices $\{P_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in [0, 1]\}$ with the following property:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P_\varepsilon = P_0.$$

THEOREM. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \leq n$, be such that for some $\bar{\varepsilon} \in [0, 1]$ we have $\dim \mathcal{N}(I - P_\varepsilon) = p \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \bar{\varepsilon}]$. Then, with $M_\varepsilon = I - P_\varepsilon$, we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\varepsilon^* = M_0^*$.*

An important ingredient in the proof of this theorem is the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let $x_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon)$ and $x_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\varepsilon$. Then $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_0)$. Here $\{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\}$ is as in the Theorem.*

Proof. We have $\dim \mathcal{R}(M_\varepsilon) + \dim \mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon) = n \ \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \bar{\varepsilon}]$, where $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is as in the statement of the Theorem. Hence $\dim \mathcal{R}(M_\varepsilon) = n - p \ \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \bar{\varepsilon}]$. But this implies that for ε small enough, possibly after some permutation of the columns, $M_\varepsilon = [M_\varepsilon^1, M_\varepsilon^2]$ with $\text{rank } M_\varepsilon^1 = n - p$. So for ε small enough, $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $x_\varepsilon = M_\varepsilon^1 y_\varepsilon$.

Assume now, to the contrary, that $\{y_\varepsilon\}$ contains a diverging sequence. Then we find $0 = M_0^1 \hat{y}$ with $\|\hat{y}\| = 1$, but this contradicts the independence of the columns of M_0^1 . Hence we may assume that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_\varepsilon = y_0$; hence $x_0 = M_0^1 y_0$, and we are done with the proof. ■

We continue with the proof of the Theorem.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We know that M_ε^* exists for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. In the sequel we will prove that $M_\varepsilon^* y$ converges to $M_0^* y$, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is arbitrary.

By definition we know $M_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon - y = r_\varepsilon$ with $M_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon = 0$ and with $x_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon)$, or $M_\varepsilon^* y = x_\varepsilon$.

Assume to the contrary that $\{x_\varepsilon\}$ contains a divergent subsequence. We know that $\|r_\varepsilon\| = \|M_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon - y\| \leq \|M_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon\| + \|y\| \leq \|M_\varepsilon\| \|x_\varepsilon\| + \|y\|$, where $\|x\|$ is the Euclidean norm of x and $\|M_\varepsilon\|$ is the induced norm of M_ε . So assume $\|x_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow \infty$, and consider $\hat{r}_\varepsilon := r_\varepsilon / \|x_\varepsilon\|$ and $\hat{x}_\varepsilon := x_\varepsilon / \|x_\varepsilon\|$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{r}_\varepsilon = \hat{r}_0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{x}_\varepsilon = \hat{x}_0$.

So we find $M_0 \hat{x} = \hat{r}$ with $\|\hat{x}\| = 1$ and $M_0 \hat{r} = 0$. Therefore $M_0^2 \hat{x} = 0$, and therefore, because of Lemma 3, $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{N}(M_0)$. We also have $x_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(M_\varepsilon)$; hence $\hat{x}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(M_\varepsilon)$ and, because of Lemma 4, we find $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{R}(M_0)$. As $\mathcal{N}(M_0) \oplus \mathcal{R}(M_0) = \mathbb{R}^n$, we arrive at a contradiction, and therefore $\{x_\varepsilon\}$ does not contain a divergent subsequence. Hence $\{x_\varepsilon\}$ contains a convergent subsequence, so we may assume, without loss of generality that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\varepsilon = x_0$ and that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} r_\varepsilon = r_0$.

Therefore we find $M_0 x_0 - y = r_0$ with $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(M_0)$ and $M_0 r_0 = 0$, or $M_0^* y = x_0$, and hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\varepsilon^* y = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\varepsilon = x_0 = M_0^* y.$$

and as we took y arbitrarily from \mathbb{R}^n , it follows that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\varepsilon^* = M_0^*$, and we are done with the proof.

We will now state and prove the converse of the previous theorem.

CONVERSE THEOREM. *Let $\{P_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in [0, 1]\}$ be such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P_\varepsilon = P_0$ and such that, with $M_\varepsilon := I - P_\varepsilon$, one has $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\varepsilon^* = M_0$. Then there is an $\bar{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1]$ such that $\forall \varepsilon \in [0, \bar{\varepsilon}]$, $\dim \mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon) = p \leq n$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a sequence $\{\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0\}$ with $\dim \mathcal{N}(M_{\varepsilon'}) = \tilde{p}$ and $\dim \mathcal{N}(M_0) > \tilde{p}$. Now consider an arbitrary $y_0 \in \mathcal{N}(M_0)$ and $x_0 = M_0^* y_0$. Then we have $M_0 x_0 - y_0 = r_0$, $M_0 r_0 = 0$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(M_0)$. Hence $M_0^2 x_0 - M_0 y_0 = M^2 x_0 = M_0 r_0 = 0$; hence $x_0 \in \mathcal{N}(M_0^2) = \mathcal{N}(M_0)$ by Lemma 3. Therefore $x_0 = 0$. So $M_0^* y_0 = x_0 = 0$.

Now $M_0^* = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\varepsilon^*$. Therefore $M_0^* y_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\varepsilon^* y_0$. But $M_\varepsilon^* y_0 := x_\varepsilon$ is equivalent to $M_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon - y_0 \in \mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon)$ and $x_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(M_\varepsilon)$, or $M_\varepsilon x_\varepsilon - y_0 = r_\varepsilon$, $x_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(M_\varepsilon)$, $M_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon = 0$. We know $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\varepsilon = 0$, therefore $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} r_\varepsilon$ exists. So we have $y_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (-r_\varepsilon)$, where $M_\varepsilon r_\varepsilon = 0$.

Now take a basis $\{y_0^1, y_0^2, \dots, y_0^p\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(M_0)$. Then the previous arguments make clear that for ε sufficiently small, $\dim \mathcal{N}(M_\varepsilon) \geq p$, and this contradicts our assumption. Therefore, indeed, we have the conclusion of the converse theorem. ■

IMPLICATIONS FOR MARKOV CHAINS

We will now see what the implications of the previous results are for $\bar{P}_\varepsilon = I - M_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^*$, where $\bar{P}_\varepsilon := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (I + P_\varepsilon + \dots + P_\varepsilon^{t-1})/t$ and where $M_\varepsilon = I - P_\varepsilon$.

Suppose that the Markov chain associated with P_ε consists of precisely r ergodic classes, $\forall \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$; then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{P}_\varepsilon = \bar{P}_0$. This can be seen as follows. After possibly a permutation of the states $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$P_\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} D_1(\varepsilon) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2(\varepsilon) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & D_r(\varepsilon) & 0 \\ B_1(\varepsilon) & B_2(\varepsilon) & \cdots & B_r(\varepsilon) & C(\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the matrices $D_i(\varepsilon)$ are square and irreducible and $\rho(C(\varepsilon)) < 1$,

where $\rho(C(\varepsilon))$ is the spectral radius of $C(\varepsilon)$. We know (see for instance [3, Theorem 1.4, Chapter 2]), that $\rho(D_i(\varepsilon)) = 1$ and $\rho(D_i(\varepsilon))$ is a simple eigenvalue. This implies that $\text{rank } I - P_\varepsilon$ is equal to $n - r \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Hence the previous results apply and we are done.

REFERENCES

- 1 P. Robert, On the group-inverse of a linear transformation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 22:658–669 (1968).
- 2 C. D. Meyer, Jr., The role of the group generalized inverse in the theory of finite Markov chains, *SIAM Rev.* 17:443–464 (1975).
- 3 A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

Received 23 January 1995; final manuscript accepted 22 May 1995